

Message

From: Aburano, Douglas [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=5058D128E7854B4895441FF23C8F6C01-DABURANO]
Sent: 3/22/2017 10:01:49 PM
To: Mooney, John [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=3acdc64b498442d487dfd543a9c4d40a-Jmoone02]; D'Agostino, Kathleen [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=d72bb5826e5a423f99c8f934b7441437-KDagosti]
Subject: RE: Thank you and for your information

And that's why you always cc your boss.

Thanks for the clarification, John.

From: Mooney, John
Sent: Wednesday, March 22, 2017 4:43 PM
To: Aburano, Douglas <aburano.douglas@epa.gov>; D'Agostino, Kathleen <dagostino.kathleen@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Thank you and for your information

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

From: Aburano, Douglas
Sent: Wednesday, March 22, 2017 4:34 PM
To: D'Agostino, Kathleen <dagostino.kathleen@epa.gov>
Cc: Mooney, John <Mooney.John@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: Thank you and for your information

Kathleen,

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Let me know if you want to talk with me and John about this for a little more detail about the email exchange from below.

Thanks,

Doug

From: Mooney, John
Sent: Tuesday, March 21, 2017 2:50 PM
To: Aburano, Douglas <aburano.douglas@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: Thank you and for your information

We should probably get together with Kathleen and see if there is any followup that we can be doing.

From: Jane Brill [<mailto:jane@sheboygan.org>]
Sent: Tuesday, March 21, 2017 2:12 PM
To: Mooney, John <Mooney.John@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Thank you and for your information

Good afternoon John,

I hope this email finds you well! I did pass the below information onto Alan Ott and he thanks you.

I know I had asked you about a good time to meet again and I am thinking we may just do a conference call as that would be easier. I think I would focus on the parties present at our last meeting at Senator Johnson's office. Steve Steinpreis of Plymouth Foam and Lucas Vebber, WMC both present at that meeting are participating with WDNR in their work group for nonattainment boundaries on a monthly basis. I believe this week WDNR will be sharing their nonattainment boundary request. I think we felt, it might be more beneficial to wait setting up a conference call until we had this information.

I did want to follow up with you and ask if you were able to learn anything on section 319 of the Clean Air Act amendment and exceptional events you mentioned below. If you could advise, if you have learned anything from your exploration, that would be great! Or if you did, we can make that the part of our conference call as well.

The only other developments since our meeting were conversations with Senator Tammy Baldwin's office asking for her support and an upcoming very brief meeting with Senator Ron Johnson on April 10. I have been having conversations with the Lake Michigan Ozone Study Group who will be here in May and June. It sounds like the EPA wants to stage a trailer here as well during this timeframe.

I look forward to hearing from you. Thank you John!

Jane Brill
Marketing & Program Director
Sheboygan County Chamber
920.395.8707
<mailto:jane@sheboygan.org>

From: Mooney, John [<mailto:Mooney.John@epa.gov>]
Sent: Thursday, February 23, 2017 5:16 PM
To: Jane Brill <jane@sheboygan.org>
Subject: RE: Thank you and for your information

Thanks Jane, I hope you found the discussion useful.

One of the things that came up as a follow up was the question of whether EPA's monitoring requirements were statutory (i.e., directly from the Clean Air Act), or regulatory. Generally, our monitoring regs are EPA-promulgated regulations codified at 40 CFR Parts 50, 53, and 59 and are authorized by pretty broad authorities under the Clean Air Act.

However, section 319 of the Clean Air Act was amended in 2005, in one of the very rare amendments to the CAA, to add language specific to the exceptional events issues that we discussed. While that language is specific to exceptional events, it does raise the question as to whether a similar amendment would be needed for additional monitoring classifications or exemptions. I have asked our monitoring experts to start exploring that question with our

attorneys. The answer to that question may very well rely on what a classification or exemption would look like, specifically.

Not sure if this is a helpful response but I know that a number of people were interested in the answer, especially Alan Ott. I will be in touch when I get more information.

From: Jane Brill [<mailto:jane@sheboygan.org>]

Sent: Saturday, February 18, 2017 6:39 AM

To: Mooney, John <Mooney.John@epa.gov>

Subject: Thank you and for your information

Good morning John,

We wanted to thank you again for meeting with us yesterday. We really appreciate the ongoing collaborative efforts of Region 5 with WDNR and our Sheboygan County Nonattainment Task Force.

We did proceed with the Administrative Petition for Reconsideration with the new report released from LADCO and the newly QA'd data from the Hwy 42 Haven monitor. I believe we hope to reconvene in the next month or so. We are just waiting to see what actions may occur with Scott Pruitt's confirmation. We look forward to working with you in the future.

Thank you again and have a wonderful weekend!

Jane Brill
Marketing & Program Director
Sheboygan County Chamber
920.395.8707
<mailto:jane@sheboygan.org>

